

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington D C 20241 www.nipto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. 8529

09/579,842

05/26/2000

Eric D. Johnson

11302-0681

29843

03/28/2003

JOHN S. PRATT KILPATRICK STOCKTON LLP (KIMBERLY CLARK) 1100 PEACHTREE STREET **SUITE 2800** ATLANTA, GA 30309

EXAMINER MULLIS, JEFFREY C

PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT

6

1711

DATE MAILED: 03/28/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No).	Applicant(s)	
•		09/579,842		JOHNSON ET A	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
		Joffroy C. Mulli	s	1711	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cov	er sheet with the	correspondence a	ddress
	. Danly				
A SHO THE M - Extens after S - If the I - If NO - Failur	PREPARED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION is consistent of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF (1) (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication deriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per et or eply within the set or extended period for reply will, by steply received by the Office later than three months after the middle patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	reply within the statutory riod will apply and will exp	minimum of thirty (30) da	mely filed ys will be considered tim the mailing date of this	nely. communication.
tatus					
1)☑	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	29 June 2001	- Engl		
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is not	1-Tinai.	prosecution as to	the merits is
3)□	Since this application is in condition for al closed in accordance with the practice un	lowance except to ider Ex parte Quay	r formal matters, de, 1935 C.D. 11,	, 453 O.G. 213.	
Dispositi	on of Claims	ation			
4)[≿]	Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	ndrawn from consi	deration.		
5) 🗀	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> is/are rejected.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	and/or election rea	uirement.		
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or clocken / - 4			
	tion Papers The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.			
9)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) ol	pjected to by the E	xaminer.	
	which request that any objection	n to the drawing(s) D	e neid in abeyance.	00007 0111 11==	(a).
44)	Applicant may not request that any objection. The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)∏ app	roved b)∐ disap	proved by the Exa	miner.
11)	If approved, corrected drawings are required	d in reply to this Offic	e action.		
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by t	he Examiner.			
Data site	under 25 U.S.C. 88 119 and 120				
421	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f	foreign priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 11	19(a)-(d) or (f).	
13)	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:				
	1 Certified copies of the priority docu	uments have been	received.		
	a Contified copies of the priority doc	uments have been	received in Appl	ication No	. •
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation	ne priority documer nal Bureau (PCT f r a list of the certifi	nts have been rec Rule 17.2(a)). ed copies not rec	ceived in this Nati ceived.	onai Stage
44	* See the attached detailed Office action to] Acknowledgment is made of a claim for d	omestic priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(e) (to a provis	sional application).
1	a) ☐ The translation of the foreign langua ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for c	age provisional api	olication has been	Heceiveu.	
Attachm			4) Distanciaw Cur	mmary (PTO-413) Pa	oer No(s)
DO FIN	otice of References Cited (PTO-892) otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- iformation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper	948) r No(s) <u>5</u> .	4) Interview Sur 5) Notice of Info 6) Other:	ormal Patent Application	on (PTO-152)
U.S. Patent a	and Trademark Office	Office Action Summa	rv		Part of Paper No. 6

-2-Serial No. 09/579,842 Art Unit 1711 With regard to the "Other Prior Art" on applicants' Information Disclosure Statement which has been crossed out, these references have not been considered for at least the reason that the title of the article has not been provided or the name of the publisher of the Abstract or page numbers have not been provided or page numbers have been printed on top of the line delineating the box for providing the information such that the page numbers cannot be read or author or place of publication has not been provided as required by MPEP § 609 or the correct page numbers have not been provided. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action: A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent. (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action: (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that Serial No. 09/579,842

Art Unit 1711

the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 20 and 29-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Kuzuhara et al. (JP 10292084).

Kuzuhara et al. disclose a process in which a (derivatized) polyethylene oxide is polymerized in the presence of gammamethacryloxypropyltrimethoxyrimethylsilane. Although the patent discloses nothing regarding grafting explicitly nor is it even clear that the inventors desire grafting, this would reasonably appear to be inherent given that applicants and patentees both polymerize a polyethylene glycol containing material in the presence of a free radical initiator and an unsaturated silane in order to obtain their product. With regard to moisture crosslinking, the material is exposed to moisture and humidity. Note the Abstract. Therefore cross-linking would also reasonably appear to be inherent. Since the term "gel content" refers to the amount of insoluble material and since the material of Kuzuhara et al. reasonably appears to be inherently crosslinked both based on the process of production and the fact that the material is resistant to dissolving as indicated by the Abstract, it would appear to be highly cross-linked and therefore have a very high gel content.

except a property or function, and the Examiner cannot determine whether or not the reference inherently possesses properties which anticipate or render obvious the claimed invention, basis exists for shifting the burden of proof to applicant. Note <u>In refitzgerald et al.</u> 619 F. 2d 67, 70, 205 USPQ 594, 596, (CCPA 1980). See MPEP § 2112-2112.02.

Claims 1, 20 and 29-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Furuta et al. (JP 11322812).

Furuta et al. disclose a process in which a derivatized polyethylene oxide is polymerized in the presence of gammamethacryloxypropyltrimethoxysilane using a persulfate initiator. Note the Abstract and the Examples. Although nothing explicitly is recited regarding grafting nor is it even clear that patentees desire grafting, such would reasonably appear to be inherent given that patentees and applicants both polymerize the polyethylene oxide containing materials in the presence of an unsaturated silane with a free radical initiator. With regard to cross-linking using water, the material is exposed to moisture and weathering. Note the Abstract.

When the reference discloses all the limitations of a claim except a property or function, and the Examiner cannot determine whether or not the reference inherently possesses properties

-6-Serial No. 09/579,842 1711 material of the copending application to provide the benefit accruable known to those skilled in the art upon cross-linking absent any showing of surprising or unexpected results.

This is a provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey Mullis whose telephone number is (703) 308-2820. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 to 6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck, can be reached on (703) 308-2462. The fax phone number for this Group is before final (703) 872-9310 and after final (703) 8729311.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2351.

J. Mullis:cdc

Art Unit

March 27, 2003